EXAMINATION OF INTERMETALLIC PHASES IN AlCu4Ni2Mg2 ALUMINIUM ALLOY IN T6 CONDITION

ANALIZA FAZ MIĘDZYMETALICZNYCH W STOPIE ALUMINIUM AlCu4Ni2Mg2 W STANIE T6

In the technical Al alloys even small quantity of the impurities like Fe and Mn causes the formation of new phases. The particles of intermetallic phases form either on solidification or whilst the alloy is at a relatively high temperature in the solid state, e.g. during homogenization, solution treatment or recrystallization. The exact composition of the alloy and casting conditions will directly influence the type and volume fraction of intermetallic phases. The main objective of this study was to analyze the morphology and composition of the complex microstructure of intermetallic phases in the cast AlCu4Ni2Mg2 aluminium alloy in T6 condition. Several techniques: optical light microscopy (LM), transmission (TEM) and scanning (SEM) electron microscopy combined with an energy dispersive X-ray microanalysis (EDS), X-ray diffraction (XRD) were used to identify intermetallics in the AlCu4Ni2Mg2 aluminum alloy. This article also briefly reviews the competitive chemical method developed for extracting the second-phase particles from the examined alloy. The results of chemical boiling phenol extraction technique have been compared with the data obtained by the usual metallographic techniques. The results show that the microstructure of cast alloy in T6 condition contains a wide range of intermetallic phases. The following phases were identified and described: η'-Al2Cu, Al6Fe, Al7Cu4Ni, Al2CuMg, Al3(CuFeNi)2.

1. Introduction

Commercial aluminium alloys contains a number of second-phase particles, some of which are present because of deliberate alloying additions and others arising from common impurity elements and their interactions. Coarse intermetallic particles are formed during solidification – in the interdendric regions, or whilst the alloy is at a relatively high temperature in the solid state, for example, during homogenization, solution treatment or recrystallization [1-20]. They usually contain Fe and other alloying elements and/or impurities. In the aluminium alloys besides the alloying elements, transition metals such as Fe, Mn and Cr are always present. Even not a large amount of these impurities causes the formation of a new phase component. The exact composition of an alloy and the casting condition will directly influence a kind and volume fraction of intermetallic phases [14-19]. Depending on the composition, the material may contain Al3Cu, Mg2Si, Al3CuMg, and Si as well as Al(Fe,M)Si particles, where M denotes such elements as Mn, V, Cr, Mo, W or Cu. During homogenization or annealing, most of the as-cast soluble particles from the major alloying additions such as Mg, Si and Cu is dissolved,
and intermediate-sized 0.1 to 1 m dispersoids of the Al-CuMgSi type, can form. Dispersoids can also result from the precipitation of Mn-, Cr-, or Zr-containing phases. A size and distribution of these various dispersoids depend on the time and temperature of the homogenization and/or annealing processes. Fine intermetallic particles (<1 μm) are formed during artificial aging of alloys and they are more uniformly distributed than constituent particles or dispersoids. The dimensions, shape and distribution of these particles may have also important influence on the ductility of alloys. Therefore, a systematic research is necessary regarding their formation, structure and composition.

For example, the coarse particles can influence the recrystallization, fracture, surface and corrosion, while the dispersoids control grain size and provide stability to the metallurgical structure. The dispersoids can also affect the fracture performance and may limit strain localization during deformation. The formation of particles drains solute from the matrix and, consequently, changes the mechanical properties of the material. This is particularly relevant to the heat-treatable alloys, where depletion in Cu, Mg, and Si can significantly change the metastable precipitation processes and age hardenability of the material [2,21-27]. Therefore, the particle characterization is essential not only for choosing the best processing routes, but also for designing the optimized alloy composition [15-18].

The main objective of this study was to analyze a morphology and composition of the complex microstructure of intermetallic phases in cast AlCu4Ni2Mg2 aluminium alloy in T6 condition and consequently recommend the best experimental techniques for analysis of the intermetallic phases occurring in the aluminium alloys.

2. Material and methodology

The investigation was carried out on the Al-Cu4Ni2Mg2 belonging to the 2xxx group casting aluminum alloys. The chemical composition of the alloy is: 4.3% Cu, 2.1% Ni, 1.5% Ni, 0.3% Zn, 0.1% Fe, 0.1% Si, Al bal [wt%]. The alloy was subjected to T6 heat treatment: solution heat treated at 520 °C for 5 h followed by water cooling and aging at 250 °C for 5 h followed by air cooling. The microstructure of examined alloy was observed using an optical microscope – Nikon 300 on the polished sections etched in Keller solution (0.5 % HF in 5ml H2O). The observation of specimens morphology was performed on the scanning electron microscope (SEM) HITACHI S-3400, operating at 6-10 kV in a conventional back-scattered electron mode and the transmission electron microscopes (TEM) Tesla BS-540 and Jeol-2100 operated at 120 and 200kV respectively. The thin foils were prepared by the electrochemical polishing in: 260 ml CH3OH + 35 ml glycerol + 50 ml HClO4 using Tenupol-3. The chemical composition of the intermetallics was made by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) attached to the SEM manufactured by Thermo Noran.

The intermetallic particles from investigated Al-Cu4Ni2Mg2 alloy were additionally extracted chemically in phenol. The samples in the form of disc were cut out from the rods of ø12 mm diameter. Then ø~0.8 mm thick discs were prepared by two-sided grinding to a final thickness of approximately 0.35 mm. The isolation of phases was performed according to following procedure: 1.625 g of the sample to be dissolved was placed in a 300 ml flask containing 120 mm of boiling phenol (182 °C). The process continued until the complete dissolution of the sample occurred ~10 min. The phenolic solution containing the residue was treated with 100 ml benzyl alcohol and cooled to the room temperature. The residue was separated by centrifuging a couple of times in benzyle alcohol and then twice more in the methanol. The dried residue was refined in the mortar. After sieving of residue ~0.2 g isolate was obtained. The intermetallic particles from the powder extract were identified by using X-ray diffraction analysis. The X-ray diffraction analysis of the powder was performed using ARL-XTR’a diffractometer - Cu K radiation at 40 kV.

3. Results and discussion

The microstructure of investigated AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy in T6 condition is shown in Figure 1. The analyzed microstructure consists different precipitates varied in shape, i.e.: fine sphere-like and strip-like (I), complex rod-like (II) and ellipse-like (III). The characteristics of these phases are presented in Table 1.
In order to identify the intermetallic phases in the examined alloy, series of elemental maps were performed for the elements line Mg-K, Al-K, Fe-K, Ni-K, Cu-K (Fig. 2). The maximum pixel spectrum clearly shows the presence of Ni and Cu in the scanned microstructure. In order to identify the presence of the elements in the observed phases, two regions of the mapped phase with high nickel and copper concentration were marked and their spectra evaluated.
As seen in the elemental maps in Fig. 2, the regions enriched in Ni and Cu correspond to the formation of type II precipitates (complex rod-like) and type III - ellipse-like precipitates observed in Figure 1. Figure 3 shows the scanning electron micrographs and EDS analysis of particles in the investigated alloy. The EDS analysis performed on the phases present in microstructure of the alloy revealed, that complex rod-like phase (II) is the Al7Cu4Ni one, whereas the ellipse-like (III) is Al3(CuFeNi)2 (Fig. 3 and Tab. 2).

![Fig. 3. a) SEM micrographs of the AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy in the T6 condition; b) The corresponding EDS-spectra acquired in positions indicated by the number 1 and 2](image)

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The phase number</th>
<th>Type of phases</th>
<th>Chemical composition of determined intermetallic phases (% at)</th>
<th>Volume fraction of the intermetallic phases in AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Al2Cu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Al3-Cu4Ni</td>
<td>Al 52.9–65.2, Cu 23.7–30.2, Ni 7.1–8.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Al3(CuFeNi)2</td>
<td>Al 65.9–73.1, Cu 10.5–19.3, Ni 7.1–10.3, Fe 4.5–7.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The microstructure of the examined alloy AlCu4Ni2Mg2 in T6 state consists of the primary precipitates of intermetallic phases combined with the highly dispersed particles of hardening phases. The TEM micrographs and the selected area electron diffraction patterns analysis proved that the dispersed precipitates showed in Fig. 1 and 2 are the intermetallic phases S-Al2CuMg (Fig. 4 and 5) and Al6Fe (Fig. 6) besides the precipitates of hardening phase θ-Al2Cu were present in AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy (Fig. 7).
Table 1: Lattice parameter comparison for the measured and standard values of S-Al$_2$CuMg phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h k l</th>
<th>Measured d (nm)</th>
<th>Standard d (nm): S-Al$_2$CuMg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>022</td>
<td>28.73</td>
<td>28.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>13.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>20.24</td>
<td>20.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>17.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>062</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>14.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. TEM micrograph of AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy in T6 conditions showing the precipitate of the S-Al$_2$CuMg phase (a), and corresponding electron diffraction pattern (b).

Fig. 5. TEM micrograph of AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy in T6 conditions showing the precipitate of the S-Al$_2$CuMg phase (a), and corresponding electron diffraction pattern (b).
Fig. 6. TEM micrograph of AlCu4Ni2Mg alloy in T6 condition showing the precipitate of the Al6Fe phase (a), and corresponding electron diffraction pattern (b).

Fig. 7. TEM micrograph of AlCu4Ni2Mg alloy in T6 condition showing the precipitates of hardening phase θ'-Al2Cu: strip-shaped (a), compact-shaped (b).

The presented above results were compared with those obtained for the particles extracted from the Al-Cu4Ni2Mg2 alloy using phenolic dissolution technique. The particles have irregular shape (Fig. 8). The EDS spectra revealed the presence of Al, Cu, Fe and Ni – bearing particles in the extracted powder (Fig. 8 b and d). The EDS analysis results presented in Table 3 proof that analyzed particles are Al7Cu4Ni and Al3(CuFeNi)2.
Fig. 8. SEM micrographs (a,c) and EDS spectra (b,d) of the particles extracted from the AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy.

The chemical composition of the intermetallic phases extracted from the AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition of intermetallic phases (% at)</th>
<th>Type of phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The phase from Fig. 8a</td>
<td>Al2CuNi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al 51.4±64.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu 22.9±29.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni 7.5±8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The phase from Fig. 8c</td>
<td>Al3(CuFeNi)2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al 66.1±72.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu 10.1±19.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni 6.9±10.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe 4.4±7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the SEM/EDS analysis of the particles extracted with boiling phenol from AlCu4Ni2Mg2 alloy were compared with X-ray diffraction pattern (Fig. 9). The observed peaks confirmed SEM and TEM results. The majority of the peaks were from Al7Cu4Ni, Al6Fe, S-Al2CuMg, and Al3(CuFeNi)2.
On the other hand, it is nearly impossible to make unambiguous identification of the all intermetallics present in an aluminium alloy which are rather complex, even applying all well-known experimental techniques. X-ray diffraction analysis is one of the most powerful and appropriate technique giving the possibility to determine most of verified intermetallics based on their crystallographic parameters. Our analysis shows that the difficulties of having reliable results of all the possible existing phases in a microstructure of the alloy is related to the procedure of phase isolation. The residue is separated by centrifuging and since some of the particles are very fine and available sieves are having too big outlet holes there is no chance prevents them from being flowing out from a solution.

4. Conclusions

Currently, efforts are being directed towards the development of analytical techniques which rapidly achieve an accurate determination of phase components in an alloy. The obtained results revealed that the phenol extraction method is on alternative to the other techniques applied to phase identification. This method was successfully applied to the AlCu4Ni2Mg2 aluminium alloy. The main advantages of dissolution techniques are its reliability – when used properly you will always get pure residue – and its low price. The major disadvantageous of phenol extraction method are the possible contamination of the residue and the time needed.

The examined alloy in T6 condition possessed a complex microstructure. By using various instruments and techniques (LM, SEM-EDS, TEM and XRD) a wide range of intermetallics phases were identified. The microstructure of investigated alloys in T6 condition included five phases, namely: Al4CuNi, 0-Al2Cu, Al6Fe, S-Al2CuMg, and Al3(CuFeNi)2.
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